

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/675,909	09/30/2003	George Duncan Pearson	CA920085083US2	2080
51871 Shumaker & S	7590 12/06/2010 Sieffert P A	EXAMINER		
1625 Radio Di	rive, Suite 300	LAN, TZU-HSIANG		
Woodbury, M	N 55125		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/06/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision	Application
from Pre-Appeal Brief	10/675,90
Review	TZU-HSIA

Application/Control No.		Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/675,909		PEARSON ET AL.	
		Art Unit	
TZU-HSIANG (SEAN) LAN		3623	
2			

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 10/27/2010.			
 Improper Request – The F reason(s): 	oper Request – The Request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following			
The request does not inc	not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ude reasons why a review is appropriate. s included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.			
The time period for filing a respor the mail date of the last Office co	se continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from mmunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.			
held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal b brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	nt Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been der appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant ief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period tice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 7 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date ble.			
⊠ The panel has determin Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>7-10, 12.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from con	ed the status of the claim(s) is as follows: 13 and 22-31. sideration:			
3. Allowable application – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is requapplicant at this time.				
 Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time. 				
All participants:				
(1) TZU-HSIANG (SEAN) LAN	(3) VVincent Millin /vm/ Appeals Conference Specialist.			
(2) <u>JONATHAN G. STERRETT</u> .	(4)			
/Jonathan G. Sterrett/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3623	/TZU-HSIANG (SEAN) LAN/ Examiner, Art Unit 3623			

Part of Paper No. 20101123 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office